

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

337

INSTRUCTIONS: Officer designations should be used in the "TO" column. Under each comment a line should be drawn across sheet and each comment numbered to correspond with the number in the "TO" column. Each officer should initial (check mark insufficient) before further routing. This Routing and Record Sheet should be returned to Registry.

FROM:

TELEPHONE NO.

DATE

13 Jan 1955

TO

ROOM NO.

DATE

RECD

FWD

OFFICER'S INITIALS

TELEPHONE

COMMENTS

1.

C/MS

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

Card date
spaced but file
this with date of
attack - i.e.
14 Sept 54

54-60

FORM 1 MAY 54 51-10 PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED

SECRET

CONFIDENTIAL

UNCLASSIFIED

Office Memorandum

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Chief, Medical Staff

DATE: 13 January 1954

FROM : Agency Official

SUBJECT: Project Operations Report, ~~XXXXXX~~ August-September 1954.

1. Attached herewith is the report of the PROJECT Team operations ~~XXXXXX~~ in August and September of 1954.
2. The report is forwarded to you "Eyes Only" but you, of course, can show it to such members of your Staff you feel should see it. Since the report contains certain operational material, the Security Office requires that it be treated with the highest security precautions.
3. If you do not wish to retain this copy, please return it to the writer.

Agency Official

54-61-

TO : Director of Security
VIA : Deputy Director of Security
VIA : Chief, Security Research Staff
FROM : Agency Security Officer
SUBJECT: Project Operations Report
August-September 1954

DATE: 14 September 1954

1. Between Wednesday, 25 August, and Saturday, 4 September 1954, the Project Team conducted special interrogations near [redacted] three operational cases. Another case was studied at [redacted] but turned down on both medical and security grounds. In the opinion of all team members, case officers and others directly or indirectly involved, the project operations were successful. Details follow.
2. The Project Team was composed of the following individuals: a psychiatrist and consultant to the Agency Medical Division; a Medical Officer for the [redacted] a Technical Specialist and Staff Officer; and the Co-ordinator and Staff Officer.
3. In view of the highly sensitive nature of the Project techniques, operations at [redacted] were carried out [redacted] in a suburban area. [redacted] of medium size, is in a reasonably protected area, partially fenced and screened by shrubs and trees from its nearest neighbors. A limited and highly trusted indigenous household staff, consisting of husband and wife provides meals as required but were kept entirely away from the project operations which took place in a carefully guarded basement area. Armed military guards (in plainclothes) on a twenty-four hour basis covered the first case [redacted] but guard protection was not required on the second [redacted] and third [redacted] cases. Security measures were carried out under the direction of an operations officer case officers of his staff and insofar as can be determined, no security problems arose during the handling of these cases owing to proper and cautious control of the subjects, transportation and choice of location.
4. Only one unusual security situation presented itself and that was the use of [redacted] as interrogator-interpreter in the [redacted] case. [redacted] however, an American citizen, [redacted]

54-62

- c) The examination gives the doctors an opportunity to gain the subject's confidence (establish rapport) and also provide ~~for the use of intravenous injections.~~

CASE 1 —

1. On 25 August and prior to the actual use of the PROJECT techniques, all participating personnel were given a complete, detailed briefing of the case by the Operations Officer and ~~5~~ 3. Procedures were worked out, a general time schedule was prepared and all necessary operational instructions for PROJECT were issued.

2. ~~On 26 August, the subject was examined by the Operations Officer and the Psychiatric Consultant. The examination was conducted in the presence of the Operations Officer and the Psychiatric Consultant. The subject was cooperative throughout the examination. The Psychiatric Consultant and agency Medical Officer described subject at this time as in good health, having no abnormalities and with some nervousness and tension shown. He also was described as mentally shrewd, guarded and clever.~~

3. Following established patterns ~~as explained above~~, our Psychiatric Consultant and agency Medical Officer began a combined physical-psychiatric examination at 2:07 P.M., Thursday, 26 August, with ~~5~~ acting as interpreter. This examination concluded at 5:15 P.M. Subject was cooperative throughout the examination. The Psychiatric Consultant and agency Medical Officer described subject at this time as in good health, having no abnormalities and with some nervousness and tension shown. He also was described as mentally shrewd, guarded and clever.

4. On Friday, 27 August, at 10:22 A.M., after a brief discussion among participating personnel, our Psychiatric Consultant and agency Medical Officer again with ~~5~~ acting as interpreter, began a second physical and psychiatric interview and examination. During this interview, Psychiatric Consultant continued his background probing stressing subject's education, scholastic knowledge and personal views on topics of general interest. Subject again was cooperative and rapport, seemed well established. This session ended at 12:00 noon.

5. At 1:50 P.M., on the same day, the third session began. Subject was told his blood pressure was elevated and certain medical steps were required to reduce it and make him relax.

Note: A detailed medical report has been filed by our Psychiatric Consultant on all cases handled and covers medical and psychiatric aspects of these cases. Copy is attached.

6. At approximately 2:00 P.M., subject was asked to recline on the bed and at approximately 2:15 P.M. intravenous injections were begun. From

54-64

SECRET

roughly this point until 5:22 P.M., when the interrogation ended, PROJECT techniques were applied. These techniques, which followed a previously agreed upon plan were in three stages:

- a) A phantasy in which [redacted] posed as subject's [redacted] (speaking [redacted]). Results were controversial although professional opinion reflects that subject had little control. Time, thirty to forty minutes.
- b) A phantasy in which [redacted] posed as a close friend of the subject who greeted subject upon his return to Russia. Results were very good and confirmed basic material. Time, forty to fifty minutes.
- c) Following development of phantasies as described above, subject was interrogated directly by [redacted]. Results were again good, significant material was confirmed and from a professional view, subject had little control. Time, thirty to forty minutes.

7. On Saturday, 28 August 1954, at 10:00 A.M., a general conference was held in Operations Officer's office and the case reviewed. It was agreed that results were good and that subject had given the general impression of the truth with some unexplained details. [redacted] Alex also pointed out that of extreme interest and significance to him was that subject while under PROJECT had spoken highly of the Americans. This conference concluded at 10:24 A.M.

8. At 11:09 A.M., the same morning, both doctors and [redacted] began a final interview with subject. Subject's condition was regarded as satisfactory, only complaint being a headache. Subject spoke of dreams (see Psychiatric Consultant's report) and in general appeared somewhat confused in regard to the previous day's activities but no antagonism was noted. Tests were not run to check amnesia. Subject spoke of having a "feeling of satisfaction" and reiterated a willingness to do anything for us and of hating the Soviets. The interview was concluded at 11:42 A.M. and team returned to station headquarters at 12:30 P.M. For the record, it should be noted that subject was informed at the conclusion of this interview that all tests and examinations were finished; that we were now convinced he had told us the truth and that a "favorable" report would be made to "higher authorities" on his behalf. It should also be noted, in response to a request from the Operations Officer, that the team agreed that there could be no objection to operational use of the subject [redacted]

54-65

2. The subject, [REDACTED]

3. On Sunday, 27 August 1954, at 2:00 P.M., a CIA psychiatric consultant and a CIA physician with an interpreter began a combined physical-psychiatric examination. This examination was concluded at 4:47 P.M. Both doctors described subject as "physically normal." During the course of the examination, subject repeated with several deviations his previously given life story. He appeared frank, unguarded and fully co-operative. The psychiatric consultant described subject as a "very dependent individual" at this time.

4. On Monday, 30 August at approximately 10:00 A.M., after explaining the necessity of further examination to subject at conclusion of the previous day's examination, actual project treatments were commenced. First injection was made at 10:30 A.M. and subject went rapidly into a sound sleep, (see consultant report) Subject, however, after a short period of time and after stimulation reacted poorly to the treatment and two attempts to introduce phantasy were unsuccessful. Subject then continued to resist treatment although passive doses were tried until approximately 11:15 A.M. when additional injections produced a satisfactory state and the interpreter opened up with direct interrogation. This in interrogation which lasted about forty-five minutes produced satisfactory information of a confirming nature. The psychiatric consultant stated that in his professional opinion this induction was satisfactory and the interpreter confirmed the accuracy of the subject's statements at this time. The session concluded at 2:27 P.M.

5. At 1:15 P.M., the psychiatric consultant and the interpreter held a short, follow-up interview with the subject. This concluded at 1:30 P.M. and the case was terminated.

6. At 2:30 P.M., all participating personnel discussed the case in the office of the operations officer. Team conclusions, based on the professional

54-66

3. On Tuesday, 21 August at 12:42 A.M., following previously agreed upon patterns and cover, The psychiatric consultant and CIA medical Officer with a Case Officer, acting as interpreter began routine physical and psychiatric examinations. These examinations continued until 1:00 P.M., were interrupted for lunch and began again at 2:00 P.M. and continued until approximately 3:35 P.M. At 3:35 P.M., Project treatment began with the first intravenous injection.

4. At this point, it is important to note in the record that the psychiatric consultant in his psychiatric probing during the previous three hours examination, had turned up a distant cousin of the subject's, [redacted] by name, for whom the subject had a rather deep and long-standing devotion although he had not seen him since 1940. [redacted] and the subject had lived together as children and subject seemed concerned about [redacted] his present life and whereabouts. Because of this and as the psychiatric consultant's suggestion, it had been agreed to attempt to create a fantasy around the figure of [redacted] as a first effort with the subject.

5. Subject reacted very rapidly to the Project treatment. When desired depth had been reached, the Case Officer left the operations room and the interrogator (who as noted previously speaks fluent [redacted] entered and took his place. As the subject already emerged from the first effects of the injection the fantasy was introduced by the interrogator speaking as [redacted] The fantasy rapidly developed. Subject vividly and excitedly talked to [redacted] about his, his personal life, his present address and many related matters. [redacted] (the interrogator) answered subject's questions and in turn asked revealing questions to the subject. These questions, highly pertinent to the operational use of the subject, were answered freely by the subject and the information taken during the three-quarter hour period the fantasy continued was regarded as revealing and valuable.

6. At approximately 4:20 P.M. and after all pertinent matters had been covered and with subject showing signs of restlessness, additional injections were given subject and the fantasy was broken off by the induction of sleep. At about this time, the interrogator and the writer who had been in the operations room left and only the psychiatric consultant, CIA medical officer/case officer remained—the same group that was present when treatments were commenced at 3:35 P.M. It is interesting to note that as the subject gradually aroused and the effects of the treatment dissipated, the subject

continued to insist that ~~subject~~ had been with him in the room and must be somewhere near about. The subject even insisted to the Case Officer that he had tricked him and that he wanted ~~subject~~ returned to the room. Finally, the subject, although still confused, accepted the suggestion of the Psychiatric Consultant that he had dreamed vividly and seemed highly amused by his dream.

7. The case was concluded at about 5:30 P.M. after the Psychiatric Consultant and CIA Medical Officer had checked subject's condition and found it satisfactory. (Medical report indicates previous heart condition.)

8. On Wednesday, 1 September 1954, a general conference was held with the Operations Officer, all case officers involved and the PROJECT Team present. The case of ~~subject~~ was discussed and results termed as highly satisfactory and important. The PROJECT Team, based on the observed results of the treatment and on the professional opinions of the Psychiatric Consultant and CIA Medical Officer interposed no objections to operational use of subject or returning him through the Iron Curtain. In addition, general matters involving all cases were discussed and results were reviewed.

9. It should be noted here that all of the above cases were handled under straight drug techniques—hypnosis or narco-hypnosis was not attempted. This was agreed upon for two basic reasons: a) The Psychiatric Consultant was extremely pressed for time and b) there were no ~~subject~~-speaking case officers available or present ~~subject~~ who were in any way grounded in hypnosis.

10. At the conclusion of the work at ~~subject~~ the PROJECT Team returned to ~~subject~~ at the request of officers there to examine the possibility of the use of the PROJECT techniques in connection with two subjects. After a careful examination of all details available in these cases, PROJECT treatment was denied on both medical and security grounds. Hereafter on Saturday, 4 September, after studying the above cases on Thursday and Friday, the PROJECT Team left the area.

CONCLUSIONS

1. In the opinion of the PROJECT team members, the effort was profitable and generally successful. As has been stated before, absolute predictions as to outcome of cases cannot be made in advance. Certainly amnesia cannot be guaranteed. However, it is still felt that the PROJECT techniques are a valuable support weapon and should with more casework and more research become increasingly efficient and certain.

SPECIAL COMMENTS

1. The PROJECT Team greatly appreciates the opportunities for handling actual casework. This is the only positive test of research and study.

2. The efforts of the interrogator in handling the very unusual aspects of the ~~case~~ case with very little opportunity for preparation deserves commendation.

3. The Project Team again wishes to thank the Medical Division for their complete support in this operation. The psychiatric-medical work which is the basis for the Project operations was carried out with remarkable success by the psychiatric consultant and CIA medical officer. In these cases, because of the understanding and capabilities of psychiatric consultants these physicians, ~~because of the understanding and capabilities of psychiatric consultants~~, rapport was reached with the subjects and cases were rapidly, smoothly and profitably handled. It is particularly worthy of comment that on very short notice, the Medical Division not only authorized participation of the consultant but assigned the CIA medical office to the operation with resultant loss of his services to urgent medical problems in the operations area.

4. In connection with the above, the writer recommends that some official communication be addressed to the psychiatric consultant in appreciation of his efforts on behalf of the Project operations and his high motivation in interrupting a pleasure trip, at great inconvenience to himself and his wife to assist the Agency in urgent work.

1. Subject is a [redacted] who has been thoroughly examined since March. He was briefed completely by [redacted] and the interrogator on 25 August. Subject was first examined at two o'clock on the afternoon of 26 August. He appeared tense, apprehensive, perspired freely, and gave further evidence of tension in that his blood pressure was elevated. As a preliminary step, the [redacted] radical consultant performed a physical examination which revealed no evidence of abnormality. Subject was cooperative during this procedure but displayed increasing evidence of tension. The examination was conducted through the interrogator acting as interpreter. There were no problems during this part of the examination. The details of the material listed or already discussed will not be repeated.

2. It was my impression during the entire first examination that Subject was very guarded but not particularly evasive. He responded readily to questions without hesitation and became emotional on only one occasion, which was in regard to thoughts about his father's grave. He was able to discuss his wife and children without much evidence of emotion. He kept himself well in hand, and in this first examination there were very few, if any contradictions which I could detect. It should be noted, however, that it was very difficult to get him to discuss anything pertaining to himself. He discussed freely his family and asked if we wanted to discuss his wife's family. At the slightest provocation, he would burst into political and ideological discussions, but in regard to personal matters he was more guarded and less verbose. The interview was terminated after three hours.

3. The next morning, 27 August, at approximately nine o'clock the interview was resumed. More personal matters were elicited during this interview, none of which seemed to be particularly pertinent. His biological knowledge was discussed and found to be very scanty. His classification, for example, of living beings was quite inadequate, but I don't believe that this has any great significance because he was teaching at the 7th-grade level and he himself had had no biological training but had been merely told that he would teach the subject and was teaching from a textbook.

4. He mentioned "scholastics" on one occasion, but it developed that he had no knowledge of scholasticism except what he had heard about the stupidity of scholastic philosophers while taking a course in the history of education. His concept of God and religion was discussed. He stated that on one occasion he had been urged to study for the priesthood and that at the present time, he was qualified to be a priest, but his knowledge in this area is also very sketchy and insufficient. It is of interest to note that he has no knowledge

[redacted]

54-71

of Pavlovian physiology and that he completely rejects, on the basis of some scholastic authority the source of which is not clear, the Mendelian theory of heredity. He studied psychology during a course in the seminary but was taught no theory of behavior and was given a classification of personalities which dates back at least a hundred years.

5. In the area in which we employed, he seemed to have no specialized knowledge. This is in conformity with his statement that he is a grammar-school teacher in a small school. The examination was terminated at twelve o'clock with the statement to the patient that it would be necessary to make some more psychological tests before we could conclude this part of the examination. He expressed willingness to cooperate and the relationship during the entire morning was considered good.

6. At 2:15 p.m. Subject was told that we had noted a number of occasions that his blood pressure was elevated and that before continuing the examination, we desired to have him more relaxed, even if it were necessary to give him some medicine to bring about such relaxation. He was asked to recline on the bed. His initial blood pressure was 180/95. After ten minutes of conversation, his blood pressure dropped to 160/90, but his pulse which was originally 120 was still the same. He was told, therefore, that we would have to draw some blood in a effort to lower his pressure. He accepted this readily and stated that "he would be willing to give all five liters of his blood" if the Americans asked him to. The ~~medical consultant~~ medical consultant used a 20-gauge needle to enter his left antecubital vein and made an initial injection of 3cc of 2 1/2 percent solution. Almost immediately Subject commented upon a feeling of relaxation which increased with the injection of 2cc more of the solution, shortly after which, he complained of light-headedness and a feeling of drowsiness. The injection was continued slowly at the rate of about 1cc per minute, until 20cc of the solution had been given, at which time Subject was sound asleep. Through the same needle, he was given 2cc of the second solution. There was no immediate response to this.

7. According to the previously adopted plan, the interrogator was supposed to induce the phantasy that he was a ~~prosecuting~~ ^{prosecuting} case officer. This attempt was made as Subject gradually returned to a responsive state. At this point, we have somewhat of a difference of opinion. It is my feeling, on the basis of the interpretation which I was receiving, that the interrogator succeeded in some measure in this effort. The interrogator, however, feels that Subject identified him as himself almost immediately. However, the conversation as it proceeded impressed me as one which would not have been appropriate if Subject were certain of his interrogator. For approximately thirty minutes, Subject was in a good state of detachment, and it is my

belief that he had very little control during this period. After thirty minutes, his control gradually returned to the extent that he recognized a fourth party in the room, whereas before there had been only three.

8. Before complete recovery, he was given another injection of the first solution over a period of five minutes, consisting of 15cc. He had another good initial response and this time the interrogator initiated a friend who greeted subject upon his return to ~~consciousness~~. This response was exceptionally good and was associated with a good initial response of hatred to his interrogator. This response remained very good for approximately thirty-five minutes. During this session also, the interrogator thought that he had been recognized as himself, but this was proved erroneous by further developments and the interrogator himself recognizes that the man was living actively in his phantasy. Everything which was said was appropriate to the circumstances. The content is on the recordings of the interview.

9. After forty-five minutes, he again began to control himself well, and he was given an intra-muscular injection of 1cc of the second solution followed in ten minutes by 15cc of the first solution. His response was again good and the interrogation proceeded with direct interrogation. It was considered that during the first thirty minutes of this session, Subject had little control. His final blood pressure was 130/80.

10. It is my overall impression that from a technical standpoint, it was a satisfactory interview. For approximately thirty minutes at the beginning of each of the three segments of the procedure, there was good detachment from reality and loss of control. There are two things, however, that need clearing up, neither one of which is probably very important. At one time Subject stated spontaneously that he would like to smoke his pipe. To the best of our knowledge, he is not a pipe smoker. The second point is that he changed a number of parachute drops from two to eight. Otherwise, as I understand from the interrogator, the story checks "almost too perfectly." It is my own impression, based on the total examination, that in the areas which were covered, Subject was telling the truth.

11. At a final interview on 28 August, conducted with the same case officer, Subject complained of a headache and related a rather involved dream in which he was in a railroad station in ~~Germany~~ having the feeling that he had recently come there from ~~Germany~~. He was looking at the train schedule to try to find the train of ~~Germany~~. He had difficulty in finding this but finally did locate it at the end of the list rather than where it should have been. While he was standing looking at the sign, one of his former case officers

appeared. While he was trying to make up his mind whether he should cuss him out, the case officer appeared to become extremely small and then rose to rather gigantic proportions. He proceeded, however, to berate him subsequently. While this was going on, another case officer replaced the first and then he awakened. One point in regard to the whole dream that should be mentioned is that he felt a very superior attitude toward all the ~~people~~ who were walking around the station. In reference to the interpretation, he mentioned that ~~it~~ indicated the size of his life when he was happy, that he had spent a good deal of his life in ~~the~~ and that the case officer who grew small and then large produced the association of an experience of the previous afternoon when he thought he saw a fourth person in the room who was very tall and dark in appearance. No real attempt was made to test his amnesia for the events of the preceding day, but one has the impression that he has mixed feelings in regard to it with confusion in his own mind as to how real any part was.

12. He was reassured that our tests were finished, that we were convinced that he had told the truth, and that we would recommend to higher authorities that he be given greater privileges.

54-74

1. Subject is a ~~_____~~. He appeared to be cooperative and on the first meeting, which was held on 27 August from two o'clock until five o'clock, his life history was discussed. Since his history has been previously recorded, no comments will be made about this. His speech was free, unguarded, and frank. He very definitely gives the impression of an individual who, while somewhat resentful of authority, is quite acquiescent to it. He is a dependent individual one, although having a fair education, he has no great insight and tends to parrot much of his learning.

2. He was treated on the morning of 30 August, starting at 10:30. His blood pressure was 130/80. A complete physical examination had been done the day before which was entirely normal. He was given 20cc of the first solution and went soundly to sleep. After completing the arrangements in the room, he was awakened and an attempt was made to induce a fantasy of a friend talking to him upon his return from the West. This was unsuccessful. He immediately integrated himself and was quite aware of his surroundings. Being certain that this attempt was unsuccessful, he was slowly given 15cc more of the first solution, followed by 1cc of the second solution. An attempt to induce a fantasy was still unsuccessful. He drifted into ideological discussions along with a good deal of self-condemnatory conversation. Although he was influenced by the injections and was more verbose and more frank, this was not considered a very satisfactory phase.

3. About 11:15, the injection of the 20cc of the first solution was started and, in an effort to overcome the difficulties encountered in the first two phases of the examination, this was given continuously instead of intermittently. With this type of injection, a rather satisfactory comatose state for interrogation was obtained. The case officer then proceeded with a regular interrogation. At the termination of the interrogation, he was given 1cc of the first solution. Until the interview was terminated, he continued to speak freely and frankly and was much looser guarded than he had been before. The last forty-five minutes of this phase was considered satisfactory.

4. In summary, Subject seemed to be a depressed, emotionally unstable, somewhat idealistic, ~~_____~~ who has made one suicidal attempt of serious proportions and who may well make another. He believes that he is mistrusted, although he appears to be superficially pleasant and happy, there is a good deal of depressive material close to the surface. He does not have an amnesia for the procedure, but he knows nothing about it except that he was told some blood would be drawn. He readily recognizes by the effects that he was given something

54-75

in addition to the drawing of blood, but he does not know how many solutions were used or of what nature. He asked if a narcotic had been given and he was told that it was merely a weak solution used in psychological testing. He questioned my identity as a physician a number of times although he accepted the medical consultant as a genuine physician. His final conclusions seemed to be that I was some sort of psychologist. It is my opinion, after the total examination, that Subject is probably telling the truth.

5. I seriously question his value for operational purposes. His dependency makes him grasp for the nearest authoritative source on which he can lean. His doing this at the present time with us indicates that he is just as likely to do so if he returned to his home territory. This does not indicate that he is not telling the truth now, but that he would probably also tell the truth upon his return. We consider his operational potential nil, except perhaps to return to his homeland with a good deal of pseudo information.

54-76

1. Examination was conducted on 31 August 1944 in the same location as Cases No. 1 and 2 (_____
The examination started at 10:30 a.m., was interrupted for lunch at 1:00, was resumed at 2:00, and the treatment started at 3:35. In the initial examination, the pertinent factors in the case were reviewed. These are recorded elsewhere and will not be repeated. It was decided to attempt to induce a phantasy of a meeting between Subject and _____ The induction was started at 3:35 and Subject was given 15cc of the first solution, at which time a substitution was made in interrogators and in place of the one _____ who had previously conducted the examination, a _____ speaking interrogator _____ took his place. As Subject aroused, the interrogator started a discussion in _____ The phantasy was rapidly and vividly induced, Subject clinging to his old friend and attempting to find out his present address and what he had been doing since 1940, which was the last contact Subject had had with him. In order to be certain that the situation was kept under control, Subject was given a continuous injection, receiving over the next hour 25cc of the first solution (a total of 40cc). After all pertinent information had been obtained, sleep was induced to break off the phantasy. This was done with difficulty, however, because when Subject aroused, he insisted that _____ had been present. As he was more completely aroused, he recognized the impossibility of this and began to joke about the fact that he had dreamed so vividly. When he did arouse, the situation had been returned to normal and his original interrogator _____ taken the place which he occupied at the beginning.

2. None of Solution No. 2 was given because Subject's history reveals susceptibility to angina pectoris which he has had for about two years. In view of his negative physical examination, it was decided safe to proceed with the treatment. His physical condition at the end of the procedure was good. Except for a slight feeling of being drunk, he was fully aroused and talking clearly.

3. This treatment was highly successful from a strictly professional viewpoint. The phantasy was vivid and quickly induced. It was maintained as long as the interrogator desired and had to be terminated by induction of sleep.

54-77